

Celebrating 20 years

**Elected Officials' Retirement System of the City of Baltimore** 

Actuarial Valuation Report as of June 30, 2022

**Produced by Cheiron** 

November 2022

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transr	mittal	i
Foreword		ii
Section I	Summary	1
Section II	Identification and Assessment of Risk	6
Section III	Assets	11
Section IV	Liabilities and Contributions	

# <u>Appendices</u>

Appendix A	Plan Membership1	6
Appendix B	Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	7
Appendix C	Summary of Plan Provisions	21







November 7, 2022

Board of Trustees Elected Officials' Retirement System of The City of Baltimore 7 East Redwood Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21202-3470

Dear Members of the Board:

We are pleased to submit the June 30, 2022 actuarial valuation of the Elected Officials' Retirement System of the City of Baltimore. This report contains information on System assets, liabilities, and contributions. Financial disclosures are provided in a separate Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements Nos. 67 and 68 reports.

The purpose of this report is to present the annual actuarial valuation of the Elected Officials' Retirement System of the City of Baltimore. This report is for the use of the Elected Officials' Retirement Board and its auditors in preparing financial reports in accordance with applicable law and accounting requirements.

This report was prepared exclusively for the Elected Officials' Retirement System of the City of Baltimore for the purpose described herein. Other users of this report are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to such other users.

This report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with accepted actuarial principles and practices and our understanding of the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board as well as applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys, and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

Sincerely, Cheiron

Anu Patel, FSA, MAAA, EA Principal Consulting Actuary

Matt Deveney, FSA, MAAA, EA Principal Consulting Actuary



#### FOREWORD

Cheiron is pleased to provide the annual actuarial valuation report of the **Elected Officials' Retirement System of the City of Baltimore** as of June 30, 2022. The purpose of this report is to:

- 1) measure and disclose, as of the valuation date, the financial condition of the System,
- 2) **report** on past and expected financial trends,
- 3) determine the recommended contributions for FYE 2024, and
- 4) **provide specific information** and documentation required by the City and the auditors of the System.

An actuarial valuation establishes and analyzes System assets and liabilities on a consistent basis, and traces the progress of both from one year to the next. It includes measurement of the System's investment performance as well as an analysis of actuarial liability gains and losses. This valuation report is organized as follows:

Section I presents a summary of the valuation and compares this year's results to last year's results.

Section II identifies the primary risks to the System as well as provides background information and assessment of these risks.

Section III contains exhibits relating to the valuation of assets.

Section IV shows the measure of liabilities and develops the City's required contributions.

The appendices to this report contain a summary of the System's membership at the valuation date, a summary of the major provisions of the System, and the actuarial methods and assumptions used in the valuation.

In preparing our report, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the Retirement System's Office. This information includes, but is not limited to, the Plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 23.

The actuarial assumptions reflect our understanding of the likely future experience of the System, and the assumptions as a whole represent our best estimate for the future experience of the System. The results of this report are dependent upon future experience conforming to these assumptions. To the extent that future experience deviates from the actuarial assumptions, the true cost of the Plan could vary from our results.



## **SECTION I – SUMMARY**

The key results of the June 30, 2022 actuarial valuation are as follows:

- Investments earned -9.79% on a market value basis, compared to the assumed rate for the year ending June 30, 2022 of 6.75%.
- The City's funding obligation is based on an actuarial asset value developed in Section III that smooths the volatility of investment returns. The investment return on an actuarial valuation basis was 6.14% compared to the expected return of 6.75%, resulting in a net asset loss of \$165,831.
- The Plan's surplus decreased from \$9,515,089 as of June 30, 2021 to \$9,498,478 as of June 30, 2022 due to the investment return for the year. Any surplus assets are used to offset the normal cost of benefits earned during the year.
- The recommended total lump sum contribution continues to remain at \$0 for FYE 2023 and FYE 2024 as the Plan remains in a surplus position. The actuarial asset value was greater than the actuarial liability by \$9.5 million, and the amortization of the surplus over 5 years is greater than the normal cost of the Plan resulting in a contribution of \$0.
- Due to the relatively small membership subject to elected terms, the valuation results are expected to exhibit material volatility from year to year. The Plan experienced shifts in the population from 2021 to 2022 due to one new entrant not previously reported and a deceased retiree whose beneficiary assumed the retirement benefits.
- The funded ratio of actuarial asset value to actuarial liability decreased from 151.4% to 150.6%. There were net asset losses based on the smoothed asset value and a small experience loss in liabilities due to changes in membership which resulted in a decrease in funded ratio. The funded ratio based on Market Value of Assets decreased from 168.2% to 142.4%



## **SECTION I – SUMMARY**

The table below provides details on the development of the FYE 2023 and FYE 2024 contribution results, unfunded actuarial liabilities, and statistics on Plan membership.

Va		Table I-1 tion Summar	у		
		2021 Valu Applies to FY		2022 Valu	
Contributions		Amount	% of Pay	Applies to FY	% of Pay
Normal Cost (Excluding Expenses) Amortization of Unfunded	\$	292,475	e e		20.64%
Actuarial Liability		(1,855,592)	-129.63%	(2,155,602)	-139.62%
Interest to Beginning of Next FY		(105,510)	-7.37%	(123,990)	-8.03%
Total Lump Sum Cost	\$	0	0.00%	\$ 0	0.00%
Total Considered Payroll	\$	1,431,497		\$ 1,543,949	
Unfunded Liabilities					
Actuarial Liability (AL)					
Active	\$	2,329,722		\$ 2,822,407	
Terminated Vested		0		0	
Retirees and Dependents	_	16,192,366		 15,958,215	
Total	\$	18,522,088		\$ 18,780,622	
Less: Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)	\$	28,037,177		\$ 28,279,100	
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	(9,515,089)		\$ (9,498,478)	
Funded Ratio based on Actuarial Assets		151.4%		150.6%	
Funded Ratio based on Market Assets		168.2%		142.4%	




## **SECTION I – SUMMARY**

## **Historical Trends**

It is important to take a step back from these latest results and view them in the context of the System's recent history. Below, we present a series of charts which display key factors in the valuations of the last 10 years.

## Assets and Liabilities



The bars represent actuarial liability and the lines represent the asset values. We compare the actuarial asset value to the actuarial liability in developing the funded percentage. These are the percentages shown in the graph labels. The funded ratio continued to grow over the period from 2013 to 2016, when the System had its highest funded percentage at 169% as of June 30, 2016. The funded ratio increased from 127% to 168% in 2015 primarily because of a decrease in liabilities due to assumption changes. In 2019 the ratio decreased due to a change in assumptions. For the current measurement period, there was a decrease from 151.4% to 150.6% resulting from asset and experience losses due to changes in membership. On a market value basis, the funded percentage during 2022 decreased from 168.2% to 142.4% due to the negative investment earnings of -9.79%.




#### **SECTION I – SUMMARY**

### Participant Trends

This chart shows the number of actives and non-actives covered by the Plan over the past 10-year period using the left hand scale. The numbers which appear above each bar represent the ratio of the number of inactive members to active members at each valuation date. In FYE 2022, the ratio decreased due to one new entrant. The implications of this ratio are related to the cost of the Plan as a percent of active participant payroll. If the Plan becomes underfunded, the future costs would be measured as a percent of active payroll, while asset losses attributable to all assets including those assets supporting retired lives. Therefore, an increase in cost as a percent of payroll can be more volatile as the ratio of inactive to active participants grows greater than 1 as shown in this graph.

The solid black line goes with the scale on the right, and shows the total payroll of the active participants during this period.






#### **SECTION I – SUMMARY**

## **Future Outlook**

#### **Base Line Projections**

The chart below shows the expected progress of the System's funded status over the next 20 years. The chart compares assets and liabilities and shows that if all actuarial assumptions are met, the System is expected to remain fully funded. The projections reflect expected investment returns of 6.75% each year. The funded ratio continues to increase fully covering the annual cost of benefits as they are projected to be earned. The funded ratio is based on the actuarial assets divided by the actuarial liabilities. These projections are highly dependent on future investment returns and salary increases. The graph also shows the present value of benefits which is a measure of all the benefits, past and future, that are expected to be earned by the current participants.



Given that the System is expected to be fully funded if all actuarial assumptions are met, the City's contribution rate will remain at 0% due to surplus (assets are greater than liabilities) throughout the projection period.

While this chart demonstrates that the projected future funded status remains above 100% and based on this the projected future cost is expected to be zero, as mentioned earlier, because the System covers a relatively small number of participants, these results could vary widely on a projected basis from year to year.



## SECTION II – IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESMENT OF RISK

## Introduction

In this section we consolidate the information regarding assessment and disclosure of the System's risks as well as add a number of additional items helping to communicate and understand these risks.

Actuarial valuations are based on a set of assumptions about future economic and demographic experience. These assumptions represent a reasonable estimate of future experience, but actual future experience will undoubtedly be different and may be significantly different. This section of the report is intended to identify the primary risks to the System, provide some background information about those risks, and provide an assessment of those risks.

## **Identification of Risks**


As we have discussed with the Board, the fundamental risk to the System is that the contributions needed to pay the benefits become unaffordable. While there are a number of factors that could lead to contribution amounts becoming unaffordable, we believe the primary risks are:

- Investment risk,
- Interest rate risk,
- Longevity and other demographic risks; and
- Assumption change risk.

*Investment Risk* is the potential for investment returns to deviate from what is expected. When actual investment returns are lower than the investment return assumption used in the actuarial valuation, the unfunded actuarial liability will increase from what was expected and will require higher contributions than otherwise anticipated. But when actual returns exceed the assumption, the resulting unfunded liability measurements and actuarially determined contributions will be lower than anticipated. The potential volatility of future investment returns is determined by the System's asset allocation and the affordability of the investment risk is determined by the amount of assets invested relative to the size of the plan sponsor or other contribution base.

*Interest Rate Risk* is the potential for interest rates to be different than expected. For public plans, short term fluctuations in interest rates have little or no effect as the system's liability is usually measured based on the expected return on assets. Longer-term trends in interest rates however can have a powerful effect. The chart below shows the yield on a 10-year Treasury security compared to the System's assumed rate of return. The difference is a simple measure of the amount of investment risk taken. As interest rates have declined, plans face a choice: maintain the same level of risk and reduce the expected rate of return; maintain the same expected rate of return and take on more investment risk; or some combination of the two strategies. The System has reduced their discount rate from 7.50% to 6.75% over the period shown.





## SECTION II – IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESMENT OF RISK


Longevity and Other Demographic Risks are the potential for mortality or other demographic experience to be different than expected. Generally, longevity and other demographic risks emerge slowly over time as the actual experience deviates from expected. In addition, the extensive number of assumptions related to longevity and other demographic experience often result in offsetting factors contributing to the System's overall liability experience. As such, these risks are often dwarfed by other risks, particularly those due to investment returns. However, due to the relatively small membership subject to elected terms, the valuation results are expected to exhibit material volatility from year to year due to changes in membership.

Assumption Change Risk is the potential for the environment to change such that future valuation assumptions are different from the current assumptions. For example, declines in interest rates over time may result in a change in the assumed rates of return used in the valuation. A healthier workforce may result in changes in employee behavior such that retirement rates are adjusted to reflect employees working longer. Assumption change risk is an extension of the other risks identified, but rather than capturing the risk as it is experienced, it captures the cost of recognizing a change in environment when the current assumption is no longer reasonable.

In understanding the impact of some of these risks, it is useful to look at past experience deviations. These deviations are commonly referred to as actuarial gains and losses. The chart below shows the components of changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) for the System over the last ten years, including investment gains and losses on the Actuarial Value of Assets, liability gains and losses, assumption and method changes, and the paying down of the UAL. Amounts below the horizontal axis are gains, or decreases to the UAL, while amounts above the axis are losses, or increases to the UAL. The net UAL change is shown by the dark blue line. On the next page, Table II-1 summarizes the changes in the UAL over the last ten years.



## SECTION II – IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESMENT OF RISK



## Historical Changes in UAL 2013-2022

							(\$	millio	ons	s)												
	2	013	20	14	2	2015	20	)16	2	2017	2	018	20	19	2	020	2(	)21	2	022	1	fotal
Discount Rate	7	.25%	7.	25%	7	7.25%	7.	.00%	,	7.00%	7	7.00%	6.7	75%	6	.75%	6.	.75%	6	.75%		
<u>Source</u>																						
AVA Investment (G)/L	\$	0.4	\$	(1.1)	\$	(0.8)	\$	0.1	\$	(0.3)	\$	(0.3)	\$	0.3	\$	0.4	\$	(1.1)	\$	0.2	\$	(2.2)
Liability (G)/L		(1.6)		(0.3)		(0.4)		(0.5)		0.6		0.3		0.8		0.1		1.0		0.3		0.3
Assumption/Method Changes		(0.1)		0.0		(3.5)		0.3		0.0		0.0		0.8		0.0		0.0		0.0		(2.5)
Benefit/Plan Changes		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		(0.1)		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		(0.1)
Paydown of UAL <sup>1</sup>		(0.1)		(0.2)		0.0		(0.5)		(0.5)		(0.5)	(	(0.5)		(0.4)		(0.4)		(0.4)		(3.5)
Total UAL Change		(1.4)		(1.6)		(4.7)		(0.6)		(0.2)		(0.6)	-	1.4		0.1		(0.5)		0.1	\$	(8.0)

<sup>1</sup> UAL change due to benefit accruals and payments, contributions, timing, and interest.

On a smoothed asset basis, the investment gains and losses (gold bars) from 2013 to 2022 show a combination of investment gains and losses. Over the ten-year period, investment gains and losses, have reduced the UAL approximately \$2.2 million.

On the liability side (gray bars), the System has experienced offsetting gains and losses, increasing the UAL by approximately \$0.3 million over the ten-year period.

Assumption and method changes (purple bars) have decreased the UAL by approximately \$2.5 million over the ten-year period. The method changes have included changing the funding method from projected unit credit to entry age normal in 2013. The significant assumption changes have included decreases to the salary and post retirement increase assumptions along with an update to the mortality table in 2015, reductions in the discount rate from 7.25% to 7.00% (in 2016) to the current 6.75% (in 2019), and experience studies in 2015 and 2019.

A plan change (green bars) in 2018 decreased the UAL by approximately \$0.1 million.



#### SECTION II – IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESMENT OF RISK

Typically, each year the UAL is expected to decrease as the System contributes towards paying the UAL down, assuming no future investment and liability gains and losses. However, the System has been fully funded starting in 2011, resulting in a negative UAL and no contributions have been required by the City since 2016. Net changes to the UAL (red bars), which reflects benefit accruals and payments, contributions, and interest due to timing, have decreased the UAL by approximately \$3.5 million over the last ten years.

## **Deterministic Scenarios/Stress Testing**

Assessing the future risk that the expected measurements produced by the actuarial valuations will deviate from the actual values over time is complex and can never be exactly known.

In the Summary section of this report we show a baseline projection of funded status and projected costs assuming a 6.75% investment return assumption per year. It is important to note that baseline projections, while valid, **are not going to occur** as experience never conforms exactly to assumptions every year. Therefore, we have developed hypothetical scenarios to illustrate the impact deviations from assumed investment returns may have on future funded status and contribution rates. The scenarios are balanced between positive and negative scenarios and are intended to illustrate the importance of both the return itself as well as the timing of such returns.

The graphs on the following page show the projections under two theoretical scenarios: optimistic returns of 8.75% per year and pessimistic returns of 4.75% per year.


For each scenario, the projection chart compares the market value of assets (MVA) (gold line) and the actuarial or smoothed value of assets (AVA) (blue line) to the Plan's actuarial liabilities (AL) (gray bars). In addition, at the top of each chart, we show the Plan's AVA funded ratio (ratio of AVA to AL). The years shown in the chart signify the valuation date as of June 30 of the labeled year.



### SECTION II – IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESMENT OF RISK


#### Optimistic Returns of 8.75%

If the System earns 2.00% greater than the assumed rate of return in each year of the projection, the AVA funded ratio is projected to increase to 187% by the 2032 valuation compared to 153% in the baseline projection. Given the System is expected to be in a surplus position, no employer contributions would be required for the projection period under this scenario.



## Pessimistic Returns of 4.75%

If the System earns 2.00% less than the assumed rate of return in each year of the projection, the AVA funded ratio is expected to decline over the next 20 years and remain in a surplus position until 2038 when the funded ratio drops below 100%. Under this scenario, the City is expected to have to make contributions of approximately 28% of payroll beginning in 2040.





### **SECTION III – ASSETS**

The statement of assets below is based on unaudited financial data furnished by the Retirement System's Office. The change in market value of assets during the valuation year ending June 30, 2022 is summarized below.

Table III-1 Market Value of Assets as of June 30,	2022	
Fund Balance on June 30, 2021	\$	Market <u>Value</u> 31,152,419
Contributions		
Member	\$	76,469
City/State		0
Total Contributions	\$	76,469
Investment Income Interest, Dividends, and Realized Capital Gains Unrealized Gains (Losses) Investment Expenses Administrative Expenses	\$	(39,985) (2,840,072) (64,020) (35,123)
Net Investment Income	\$	(2,979,200)
Payments of Benefits & Refunds		(1,512,750)
Fund Balance on June 30, 2022	\$	26,736,938



#### **SECTION III – ASSETS**

The table below shows the calculation of investment gains and losses and application of the asset smoothing method to determine the actuarial asset value. The System's investment experience was less than expected, with a -9.79% return, which resulted in an investment loss of \$5,034,305 during FYE 2021-2022. This loss is spread over a five-year period and recognized in the actuarial value of assets each year until it is fully recognized. The absolute value of the total unrecognized gain/loss is limited by a corridor of not more or less than 10% of the market value of assets. This corridor does not apply for the 2022 Plan Year.

	Developm	Table III-2 ent of Actuarial						
				J	June 30, 2022			
Investment Gain (	(Loss)			_				
1. Beginning of ye	ear market value of asse	ets		\$	31,152,419			
2. Net cash flow								
3. Actual investme	ent earnings during FY				(2,979,200)			
4. Anticipated earn	4. Anticipated earnings at 6.75% per annum during FY							
5. Investment Gain	5. Investment Gain/(Loss) (3 4.)							
<b>Recognized and U</b>	Inrecognized Gain/(Lo	oss)						
Valuation	Investment	Percent	<b>Recognized as of</b>	Unre	ecognized as of			
<u>Year</u>	Gain/(Loss)	<b>Recognized</b>	June 30, 2022		lune 30, 2022			
2018	\$ 560,686	100%	\$ 560,686	\$	0			
2019	(776,019)	80%	(620,815)		(155,204)			
2020	(1,472,066)	60%	(883,240)		(588,826)			
2021	5,382,186	40%	2,152,874		3,229,312			
2022	(5,034,305)	20%	(1,006,861)		(4,027,444)			
				\$	(1,542,162)			
	Maxim	um of 10% of ass	ets at June 30, 2022	\$	2,673,694			
Actuarial Value of	f Assets			والم	<u> June 30, 2022</u>			
1. Market value as	of June 30, 2022			\$	26,736,938			
2. Investment (Gai	in)/Loss not yet recogni	ized		_	1,542,162			
3. Actuarial value	· · · ·			\$	28,279,100			
4. Ratio of actuaria	al asset value to market	t asset value			105.77%			



#### SECTION IV – LIABILITIES AND CONTRIBUTIONS

The table below discloses the actuarial liabilities by membership status and compares them to the actuarial value of assets to define the unfunded actuarial liability. The liabilities are for funding purposes and are not appropriate for measuring the cost of settling System's liabilities by purchasing annuities or paying lump sums. The overfunded amount is amortized over a five-year period and that amount is then subtracted from the Normal Cost (cost to cover the upcoming year's expected accruals less member contributions) to produce the recommended employer contributions. Because of the size of this year's surplus, this amount fully offsets the normal cost.

Table IV Valuation Sur				
	As of	f June 30, 2021	<u>As of</u>	June 30, 2022
Number of Participants				
Active		16		17
Terminated vested		0		0
Retired		31		31
Total Participants		47		48
Annual compensation of active participants	\$	1,431,497	\$	1,543,949
Average compensation of active participants	\$	89,469	\$	90,821
Average Age		42.20		43.33
Average Service (not including service to be purchased)		5.64		6.34
<b>Development of Unfunded Actuarial Liability</b> 1. Actuarial Liability				
Active	\$	2,329,722	\$	2,822,407
Terminated vested		0		0
Retirees and dependents		16,192,366		15,958,215
Total	\$	18,522,088	\$	18,780,622
2. Actuarial value of assets		28,037,177		28,279,100
3. Unfunded actuarial liability (1 2.)	\$	(9,515,089)	\$	(9,498,478)
<ul><li>4. 6-year amortization payment at 6.75% for 2021</li><li>5-year amortization payment at 6.75% for 2022</li></ul>	\$	(1,855,592)	\$	(2,155,602)
5. Normal Cost (excluding expenses)		292,475		318,711
6. Interest on (4.) and (5.) to beginning of next FY		(105,510)		(123,990)
7. Total City Contribution if paid at beginning of next FY (4. + 5. + 6.), but not less than zero	\$	0	\$	0



## SECTION IV – LIABILITIES AND CONTRIBUTIONS

The table below presents the change in actuarial liabilities during the plan year. In general, the actuarial liability of any retirement system is expected to change at each subsequent valuation for a variety of reasons including:

- New hires since the last valuation
- Benefits accrued since the last valuation
- Plan amendments
- Interest on liabilities
- Benefits paid to retirees
- Participants leaving employment at rates different than expected
- Changes in actuarial assumptions
- Changes in actuarial methods
- Corrections to participant data records

The table below summarizes how these various elements have impacted the value of liabilities from last year to this year.

Table IV-2 Changes in Liabilities		
Actuarial Liability at June 30, 2021 Actuarial Liability at June 30, 2022	\$ \$	18,522,088 18,780,622
Liability Increase/(Decrease)		258,534
Change due to: Accrual of Benefits Benefit Payments Passage of Time (Interest) Plan Amendment Actuarial Assumption Change Actuarial (Gain)/Loss Total Change	\$ \$	292,475 (1,512,750) 1,219,761 0 0 259,048 258,534



## SECTION IV – LIABILITIES AND CONTRIBUTIONS

The table below provides the components of the liability loss developed in the previous table.

Table IV-3 Elements of Actuarial Liability - Gain/(Lo	oss)	
1. Age and Service Retirements - Gain/(Loss)	\$	0
2. Disability Retirements - Gain/(Loss)	Ŧ	5,266
3. Death in Service Benefits - Gain/(Loss)		0
4. Withdrawal from Employment - Gain/(Loss)		0
5. Pay/COLA Increases - Gain/(Loss)		89,395
6. Death after Retirement - Gain/(Loss)		(289,554)
7. New Entrants - Gain/(Loss)		(19,037)
8. Other - Gain/(Loss)		(45,118)
9. Actuarial Liability - Gain/(Loss) (sum 1-8)	\$	(259,048)
10. Assumption Changes - Gain/(Loss)		0
11. Plan Changes - Gain/(Loss)		0
12. Total Actuarial Liability - Gain/(Loss) (sum 9-11)	\$	(259,048)



#### **APPENDIX A – PLAN MEMBERSHIP**

The data for this valuation was provided electronically by the Retirement System Office. Cheiron did not audit the data. However, the data was reviewed to ensure that it complies with generally accepted actuarial standards. The data for active and inactive participants is as of June 30, 2022.

The tables below contain a summary of the data provided as of June 30, 2022 and a reconciliation of the data from the prior to current year.

M	ember	Statistics		
	Jun	e 30, 2021	Jun	e 30, 2022
Actives				
Count		16		17
Average Age		42.2		43.3
Average Service		5.6		6.3
Average Payroll	\$	89,469	\$	90,821
<b>Deferred Vested</b>				
Count		0		0
Average Age		0		0
Average Annual Benefit	\$	0	\$	0
Inactive				
Count		31		31
Average Age		72.1		72.9
Average Annual Benefit	\$	48,235	\$	48,694

Reco	nciliation of all EO	S Membe	rs		
	<u>Active</u>	TV	Retired	<b>Disabled</b>	Total
1. Membership as of June 30, 2021	16	0	30	1	47
2. New Entrants	1	0	0	0	1
3. Retired	0	0	0	0	0
4. Disabled	0	0	0	0	0
5. Terminated Vested	0	0	0	0	0
6. Terminated Non-Vested	0	0	0	0	0
7. Transfer to ERS	0	0	0	0	0
8. Stopped Payment	0	0	0	0	0
9. Died	0	0	(1)	0	(1)
10. Beneficiary	0	0	1	0	1
11. Rehired	0	0	0	0	0
12. Data Correction	0	0	0	0	0
13. Membership as of June 30, 2022	17	0	30	1	48
Current Annual Payroll	\$ 1,543,949				
Expected Annual Benefit Payments	\$ 1,490,036				



### **APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

## 1. Actuarial Cost Method

Method of Funding:	Entry Age Normal Cost Method was approved by the Board of Trustees effective date of 7/1/2013.
Amortization Period:	The unfunded actuarial liability, including actuarial gains and losses, is amortized as a level dollar over 16 years beginning June 30, 2011. The 16-year period is decreased each year. As of June 30, 2022, the unfunded liability is amortized over 5 years.
Asset Valuation:	The actuarial value of assets is equal to market value plus accrued contributions minus/plus the unrecognized gain/loss as of the valuation date. Each year's gain/loss is recognized over five years. Investment gains/losses are defined as earnings in excess of expected earnings (6.75%) of the market asset value at the beginning of the year.
	The absolute value of the total unrecognized gain/loss is limited to not more or less than 10% of the market value of assets.
Valuation Software:	Cheiron utilizes ProVal, an actuarial valuation software leased from Winklevoss Technologies (WinTech) to calculate the liabilities, normal costs and projected benefit payments. We have relied on WinTech as the developer of ProVal. We have reviewed ProVal and have a basic understanding of it and have used ProVal in accordance with its original intended purpose. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of ProVal that would affect this actuarial valuation.

#### 2. Actuarial Assumptions

All actuarial assumptions are subject to Board of Trustees' approval, with changes typically addressed following each five-year experience study and following the recommendation of the actuary. An experience study was performed in 2019 based on 2014 through 2018 experience analysis. The rationale for the actuarial assumptions is provided within the experience study report.

Investment Return:	6.75% compounded annually, net of all expenses. This assumption is defined by City Code based on the definition of <i>Regular Interest</i> , which has been amended from time to time based on the advice of the actuary and investment consultant and recommendation of the Board of Trustees in the form of an amendment to the City Code from time to time.
Salary Increases:	2.5% compounded annually based on our understanding of the compensation capped growth rate for Elected Officials.



#### **APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

Post-Retirement						
Increase:	4	First became a member on or before December 5, 2016: 2.5% compounded annually, with a one year wait period for retirements on or after March 1, 2017.				
	]	1.5% until a		on or after De 0% thereafter od.	,	
Pre-Retirement		5	1			
Mortality:	None - given the small covered population and the nature of the pre-retirement death benefits providing a return of most or more than the benefit value at death, the best assumption to fit these circumstances is to assume no discount of benefits for pre-retirement mortality.					
Post-Retirement						
Mortality:	1. I	Retirees and	Beneficiari	es:		
	t f	Pub-2010 General Retiree Below-Median Weighted morta tables adjusted by 115% for males and 125% for females v future mortality improvement through 2022 using SOA's So MP-2018.				
	2. I	Disabled me	mbers:			
	ł	Pub-2010 General Disabled Annuitant mortality tables by 163% for males and 145% for females with future r improvement through 2022 using SOA's Scale MP-201				ture mortality
		Retirees and Disabl		abled		
			Benef	ciaries*	Mei	mbers
		Age	Male	Female	Male	Female

0.010045

0.012233

0.014949

0.023702

0.038893

0.065591

55

60

65

70

75

80

0.044199 \* Rates for individuals who are the age shown as of the valuation date.

0.005765

0.006648

0.008659

0.014508

0.025035

0.033406

0.040073

0.04931

0.062827

0.082293

0.115647

0.024785

0.028299

0.032604

0.040508

0.055942

0.084194



## **APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

## Disability:

		Non-Line-	Line-of-		
	AGE	of-Duty Disability	Duty Disability		
	25	0.0008	0.0002		
	30	0.0008	0.0002		
	35	0.0012	0.0002		
	40	0.0023	0.0003		
	45	0.0035	0.0005		
	50	0.0057	0.0005		
	55	0.0080	0.0008		
	60	0.0013	0.0012		
	65	0.0014	0.0014		
	69	0.0015	0.0015		
Withdrawal: Retirement Age:	<ol> <li>None, since future service credit may be purchased.</li> <li>Members hired before December 6, 2016: The later of (i) completion of current term or, (ii) end of term</li> </ol>				
	when first eligible for retirement (16 years of service or age 50 with 12 years of service).				
	2. Members hired on or after December 6, 2016: The later of (i) completion of current term or, (ii) end of term when first eligible for retirement (age 55 with 12 years of service).				
Percentage Married:	Males: 80%; Females: 80%				
Age Difference:	A husband is assumed to be four-years older than his wife.				
Expenses:	Administration and investment expenses are assumed to be covered by the investment earnings. It is assumed that the Fun- will have sufficient earnings to pay these expenses and meet the interest assumption.				
Benefit Offsets:	None				
Election Year:	The next election year is assumed to occur in 2024. Elections a then assumed to be held every four years thereafter.				
Employee Contributions:	of the activ	ontributions are assume re service retirement an offset to the norma	annuity and are		



## **APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

Data Assumptions:	There were no data assumptions applied in the preparation of this valuation, as all data for active and nonactive participants was supplied by the Retirement System Office.				
Justification for Assumptions:	The actuarial assumptions were adopted by the Retirement Board, based upon the alternatives presented in the 2019 experience study report conducted on the System's experience from the 2014-2018 valuations. The results of this study were presented in 2019 and are incorporated into this report by reference.				
Projection Basis:	This report includes projections of future assets, liabilities, funded status and contributions for the purpose of assisting the Board of Trustees with the management of the System. We have used Cheiron's P-Scan model to develop these projections. The model is also used to stress test the impact of volatile asset returns over the projection period. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of our P-scan modeling software.				
Changes Since Last Valuation:	None.				



## **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

In this section, the basis of the valuation is presented and described. This information – the provisions of the Plan and the census of participants – is the foundation of the valuation, because these are the present facts upon which benefit payments will depend.

The valuation is based upon the premise that the Plan will continue to be in existence, so future events must also be considered. These future events are assumed to occur in accordance with the actuarial assumptions and concern such events as the earnings of the Fund, the number of participants who will remain to retirement, their ages at retirement, and expected benefits.

#### **Effective Date**

The Plan was established by City Ordinance 1105 effective December 5, 1983 and has been amended periodically.

#### <u>Membership</u>

Any elected official who is not a class A, B, C, or D member of the Employees' Retirement System of Baltimore City, upon taking the oath of office, shall automatically become a member of this system.

Any elected official who is a class A, B, C, or D member of the Employees' Retirement System shall have the option, within 120 days of taking the oath of office, to become a member of this retirement system.

#### **Current Annual Earnable Compensation**

The current annual Compensation authorized for an elected position, including any future increases occurring after the retirement of the official, which shall, after retirement, index benefits paid under this system subject to applicable reduction for any optional retirement allowance selection.

#### **Retirement Date**

- 1. <u>Service Retirement</u> Members hired before December 6, 2016 are eligible to retire after the earlier of (1) acquiring at least 16 years of service credit or (2) attaining age 50 with at least 12 years of service credit. Members hired on or after December 6, 2016 are eligible to retire after attaining age 55 with at least 12 years of service credit.
- 2. <u>Non-Line-of-Duty Disability</u> A member may retire with a Non-Line-of-Duty Disability pension, if the member has five years of service and is determined to be mentally or physically incapacitated for the further performance of the duties as an elected official and such incapacity is likely to be permanent.
- 3. <u>Line-of-Duty Disability</u> A member may retire with a Line-of-Duty Disability pension if the member is totally and permanently incapacitated for the further performance of his / her duties as the result of an accident occurring while in the actual performance of such duties without willful negligence on his / her part.



## **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

### Service Retirement Benefit

Upon retirement from service, a member shall be entitled to receive a maximum service retirement allowance which shall consist of:

- An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of accumulated contributions at the time of retirement; and
- A pension, in addition to the annuity, which together shall be equal to 2.5% of the current annual earnable compensation applicable to that office multiplied by the number of years of membership credit; and

For members hired on or after December 6, 2016, the maximum allowance shall not exceed 60% of the member's annual compensation at retirement. The maximum allowance shall be payable in the form of a life annuity with 40% continuing to the unmarried spouse or minor children upon a member's death. There is no reduction in benefit for this annuity form.

## Non-Line-of-Duty Disability Retirement Benefit

The greater of:

- 1. An annuity, which shall be the actuarial equivalent of accumulated contributions at the time of retirement and a pension, which, together with this annuity, shall be equal to the annual amount of accrued service retirement allowance; or
- 2. A total retirement allowance consisting of 25% of the current annual earnable compensation applicable to that office.

This benefit will be offset by workers' compensation received on account of the same disability.

## **Line-of-Duty Disability Benefit**

- 1. An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of accumulated contributions at the time of retirement; and
- 2. A pension, in addition to the annuity, of 66-2/3% of the current annual compensation applicable to that office.

This benefit will be offset by workers' compensation received on account of the same disability.

## Non-Line-of-Duty Death Benefit

The beneficiary of a member who dies while serving as an elected official or retires and dies within 30 days of service will receive either:

- 1. A lump sum equal to 50% of his/her current annual earnable compensation at the time of death plus return of the member's contributions; or
- 2. If the member was eligible to retire, the beneficiary will receive the 100% Joint and Survivor benefit described in Option 2 (see Optional Retirement Allowances). To receive this death benefit, the beneficiary must be designated to be the spouse who has been married to the participant for at least one year immediately prior to death or a parent.



## **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

This benefit will be offset by workers' compensation benefits and benefits paid by the System before the date of death.

### Line-of-Duty Death Benefit

A pension shall be paid if a hearing examiner determines that a member's death occurred in the actual performance of duty and without willful negligence. The benefit equals a refund of accumulated contributions and interest plus a pension of 100% of the current annual earnable compensation applicable to that office as of the date of death.

This benefit will be offset by workers' compensation benefits.

#### **Optional Retirement Allowances**

The benefit will be reduced if a member elects to receive the benefit in one of the following forms:

- 1. A cash refund annuity providing the lump-sum balance of the reserve at retirement to beneficiary;
- 2. Joint and Survivor: life annuity with 100% or 50% continuing to beneficiary upon death of the member;
- 3. Pop-up Joint and Survivor: life annuity with 100% or 50% continuing to beneficiary upon death of the member. If the beneficiary predeceases the member, the member's benefit increases to the pre-reduction amount; or
- 4. Specific Benefit Option: upon the member's death, the beneficiary will receive either a specific lump sum amount or a specific periodic allowance for life.

#### **Termination of Employment**

Members with 12 years of service are vested. For members hired before December 6, 2016 a benefit equal to the Service Retirement Benefit is payable at age 50 if a member does not withdraw his/her contributions. For members hired on or after December 6, 2016 a benefit equal to the Service Retirement Benefit is payable at age 55 if a member does not withdraw his/her contributions.

A member terminating employment may withdraw his/her contributions from the System.

#### **Continued Membership**

An elected official, who is a member of this Retirement System, shall have the right at his/her option to continue membership in this Retirement System provided that he/she contributes both City and member contributions.

#### **Member Contributions**

Members are required to contribute 5% of salary. However, no contributions shall be made by the member after the member has attained age 60 and has acquired 35 years of service credit in this System.

Member contributions are accumulated at 3.0% interest, per annum effective January 1, 2017.

If a member transfers prior City service (such as ERS service), he/she must pay back the equivalent of such past member contributions.



## **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

### **Retirement Benefit Increases**

For members who joined on or before December 5, 2016, benefits are indexed to the current salaries of elected officials. For members who joined on or after December 6, 2017, increases are the greater of 1.5% and the rates in effect for the Fire & Police Retirement System, with a one year wait period.

Members who retire on or after March 1, 2017 will receive the benefit increase beginning in January of the year following the first June 30 as of which the retiree has received benefit payments for at least 12 consecutive months.

Note: The above is only a summary. The provisions of the law will govern the System.

